The OECD defines sustainability as “the continuation of benefits from a development intervention after major development assistance has been completed. The resilience to risk of the net benefit flows over time” (OECD, 2002, p.36)
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ABSTRACT
The Problem: Sustainability of SE organizations after the end of grant period.
Methodology: Qualitative research and analysis applied. Two donor and four funded organizations interviewed.
Conclusions and Recommendations: Conclusions are developed from government, donor and recipient organisations perspectives.

INTRODUCTION
The aim: study the enterprises operating under the financial support of donor organisations.
RQ 1: Is there appropriate environment created around the SMEs and their projects funded by donor organizations in order to build local capacity and sustain businesses in the long run?
RQ 2: What are the most appropriate actions/measures that shall be conducted by different actors to support sustainable development of businesses?

METHODOLOGY
Research Philosophy: Positivism.
Qualitative research methods were used
Research Approach: Study is inductive, rather than deductive.
Research Strategy: Direct approaching to and communication with program managers in the selected donors and local beneficiary organisations;
Data Collection Instrument: Interview

CONCLUSIONS
Conclusions are derived from the research and drafted from three perspectives:
• Government Perspective:
  - No single definition of SMEs;
  - No particular institutional; arrangements SE policy and guidance;
  - Ministries communicate on an ad hoc basis within the framework of only joint public projects;
  - No SME strategy;
  - State Strategy for Regional Development of Georgia for 2010-2017 states its support for the development of innovation, new technologies and entrepreneurship as one of its objectives (OECD et al., 2012)
• Donor Perspective:
  - Lack of initial attention to the ability of SE to sustain after project life-cycle under the grant ends;
  - No direction and support is provided for development of written documents on long-term vision, mission and plan for sustainment.
• Grant Recipient Perspective:
  - No written vision, mission statement, and strategic plan;
  - Heavy dependence on donor funds and orders; hope only on social solidarity.

RECOMMENDATIONS
Recommendations developed for three sustainment agents. Sample Sustainment Division of Responsibilities is developed for future references and collaboration.
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